

Room: E3 553

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Side 1: Ethan Liu

Side 2: Kudos College of Youth Leadership JKJSJ

Negation

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)	Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Ethan Liu	2	30	2	Justin Kung	3	29
				1	Stephanie Jing	1	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Connie Chung
 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

East Los Angeles College
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Room: E3 557

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Side 1: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
AASG

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>Neg</u>	Alan Alexander	<u>3</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Neg</u>	Susie Gu	<u>2</u>	<u>25</u>

Side 2: Alex Lee

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
<u>Aff</u>	Alex Lee	<u>1</u>	<u>28</u>
---	---	---	---

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

CHAFFEY HIGH SCHOOL
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- Alex is clearly ~~defining~~ defining terms strongly, cites specific nations & how the lawful actions are justified by the UN.
- Susie argues that the UN can help allocate resources to clean up oil spills, however, the evidence cited is lacking.
- Neg. Pos. argues that there is no cross-benefit analysis & arctic drilling will bring environmental pollutions
- Alex argues ~~at~~ the arctic drilling has already been occurring, he is directly addressing the Neg. criticisms.
- Alan cites historical knowledge to advocate for ~~more~~ regulation
- Alex is trying to understand certain terms under Neg. viewpoint
- Neg. Pos. struggles to fully counter Aff. Pos. and argues through global fictions & not empirical evidence
- Arguments are being made on

- Alex proves the economic benefit of the Aff. Pos. & clearly states as to why the logic is sound (closing argument)

Feedback

- All parties were able to successfully articulate their viewpoints
- Alex was able to precisely argue why his viewpoint & strengthen it w/ more evidence. may work on addressing more directly criticisms
- Susie was able to cite her evidence w/ credible sources. may work on speaking louder
- Alan was able to successfully defend his position

Room: E3 525

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Side 1: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
CLTLSide 2: Emerson Community Charter School
MRKC

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Pro			
speaker			
1	Clinton Lau	2	29
speaker			
2	Tony Lu	1	29.5

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
Con			
2nd speaker	Marcus Razo	4	28.8
3rd speaker	Katie Cohen	3	28.9

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

1st speakers - both clear and good speakers

Clinton - make eye contact. but gooden standing still
- try not to use so much clarifying questions. Attack the case.- YOU need to make sure you have every cardMarcus - clear. but ^{at the start} felt like where you are who

I don't look around too much but you refute. you start with the climate change but can

Tony - direct and clear. for rebuttations (well-organized) doesn't talk about climate change but South China Sea. said cyprus/retribution.

Tony contained that case fine

- Marcus needs to ask a lot more questions.

Katie - give me a roadmap. It was hard to flow your summary. While they were good points I might have misplaced them.

nice concise summary from Clinton

- You have to find the evidence earlier (organize that folder!!) + isn't everything a probability?

Rebuttal to refute. If Philippines had worse relations with China than US. It gives us the ability to fix the relationship. I think that chance is worth it and they have it.

Clinton - make eye contact. but gooden standing still
- try not to use so much clarifying questions. Attack the case.

Marcus - clear. but at the start felt like where you are who
I don't look around too much but you refute. you start with the climate change but can

Tony - direct and clear. for rebuttations (well-organized) doesn't talk about climate change but South China Sea. said cyprus/retribution.

Tony contained that case fine
- Marcus needs to ask a lot more questions.

Katie - give me a roadmap. It was hard to flow your summary. While they were good points I might have misplaced them.

nice concise summary from Clinton

- You have to find the evidence earlier (organize that folder!!) + isn't everything a probability?

Rebuttal to refute. If Philippines had worse relations with China than US. It gives us the ability to fix the relationship. I think that chance is worth it and they have it.

63

Daniel Kyle - Nova 42

Open Public Forum R3 FLIGHT: A

Room: E3 4552018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 1:30****Ballot Due: Soon please!****Side 1:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership
SSEJ**Side 2:** Wilshire Academy CSKA

<u>Pos</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>RK (1-4)</u>	<u>Points (30-1)</u>	<u>Pos</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>RK (1-4)</u>	<u>Points (30-1)</u>
<u>1</u>	Sunny Sun	<u>4</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>2</u>	Clara Son	<u>1</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>2</u>	Ellie Jiang	<u>2</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>1</u>	Kriste An	<u>3</u>	<u>28.5</u>

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Nec

Sunny
work on the swaying
work on eye contact with
the judge

Feed Back
Given in void

Kriste
Good eye contact
Don't ask your opponent
what the strongest arg
ok ^{their} your case is

Ellie

Clara

Room: E3 525

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Side 1: Magnet Academy GSCS

Side 2: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
DWML

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
speaker 1			
Pro	Gyan Shrivastava	1	24.4
speaker 2			
Pro	Chris Song	2	28.3

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
speaker 2			
Con	Daniel Wu	3	28.2
speaker 1			
Con	Michael Lee	4	27.8

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- I need the Neg to clarify their points more since I'm not familiar with the topic!!! Meanwhile, Aff was alot clearer on their points.

- Good eyecontact & presentation on both sides.

- Good questions from the AFF - NEG ASK MORE QUESTIONS

- don't talk so loudly (I hear you...) but good defense.
for the Neg

- high sea terrorists in submarines. PPP whar?

- don't say "I don't know" and "whatever"

- also I think the 2nd crossfire got confusing for both sides.

Both sides: be more formal

Neg summary was very confusing. unclear.

nice summary on both sides

- Pro needs more weighing at the end.

Reason for decision: pro was more clear and I believe it affects war with China.
+ can still repair cables.

I can't vote on the neg alot of pro's refutations to national security were ignored (including taxes credit right free to gather info).

Room: E3 521

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Side 1: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
CSSC

Side 2: Wilshire Academy DHJJ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

Aff	Cloris Shi	2	29
-----	------------	---	----

Aff	Seth Chang	3	28
-----	------------	---	----

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

Neg	Darren Hwang	1	29
-----	--------------	---	----

Neg	Janice Jin	4	28
-----	------------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Both teams Did very well. ~~you~~ both had strong speakers. The neg placed better their case. They really supported their points. The Aff kept referring to negs case as irrelevant but did not state why. I felt Aff held impacts and rebuttals well. Better case overall. Each team used time well and good crossfire questions from Aff.

Room: E3 455

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Side 1: Wilshire Academy RKDN

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Roy Kim	27	4

2	Daniel Noh	28	2
---	------------	----	---

Side 2: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
AHDZ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Austin Hong	1	27.5

1	Daniel Zheng	3	27.5
---	--------------	---	------

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Feedback given
in round

Room: E3 ELAC Office 12018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 2AM****Ballot Due: Soon, please!****Affirmative:** ModernBrain ALAQ**Negative:** The Mirman School SGLB

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Andrew Lu	2	28.5
1	Arthur Qin	1	28.9

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Sheila Goetz	3	27.8
1	Liam Brooks	4	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

INC - spend more time on case than reading multiple cards that won't be to your advantage.

INIZ - read Brain Drain in INC ¹⁰ and ~~needs~~ save the uniqueness cards for the Base PA for the block.

IAR - no prep...

2NR - impact out your arguments that you extend

2NC - contradictory arguments because on the PA you say nuclear war happens but on case you say it won't.

2AR - good pointing out they drop your advantages and how the base PA doesn't outweigh.

impact - weighed into decision

All wins that nuclear war isn't likely because the neg doesn't give an internal link scenario to their impact

Everyone can walk on line by line to improve dash

everyone Plan

Room: E3 557

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Side 1: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
KGJW


Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
NEG	Katelyn Gan	4	23
NEG	Julianne Wu	2	26

Side 2: Magnet Academy AHB

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
AFF	Aditya Hari	3	25
AFF	Benjamin Fan	1	28

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____


 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

 CHAFFEY HIGH SCHOOL
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

 Aff Benjamin: • cites Brookings Institution, Heritage Foundation
 • advocates for strengthening economy for USA

Neg Katelyn: • advocates for protecting American environment

Aff Rebuttal: cross-benefit analysis

Benjamin asks

 Aff Aditya: • advocates for American independence
 in the energy field

 • Aditya cited sources which backed up his claims on American
 energy independence

• Julianne refutes the Affirmatives evidence on energy's sources in the world

• Aditya spoke misinformation about submarine

 • Benjamin provided supreme court cases that countered the Neg. view point
 on America being solely responsible for world pollution

• Julianne is focusing on the practicality of not

Feedback

- All sides articulated their points perfectly
- Strong evidence was presented
- May work on speaking louder

Room: E3 457

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Side 1: Nova 42 Academy AKRC

~~Affirmation~~ (Negation Side)

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

2	Andrew Kim (Blue Shirt)		30
---	----------------------------	--	----

1	Ryan Choi (Black Suit)		30
---	---------------------------	--	----

Side 2: Kudos College of Youth Leadership

AJIG (~~Affirmation~~ Side)

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

1	Annie Jiang		30
---	-------------	--	----

2	Isabel Gan		30
---	------------	--	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

E. Z.

ELAC

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Side 1 presented good questions. Side 2 had well constructed answers. Good citation of sources. Side 1 and Side 2 had all the information they needed for their case. Partners in both sides helped out their team member. Good use of cards to back up the case.

Room: E3 457

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Side 1: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
DWCL (Pro)

Side 2: Nova 42 Academy NCBC (Negation Side)

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
1	Danielle Workman		30
2	Chloe Leong		30

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
2	Nathan Chu		30
1	Brian Chen		30

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

E2.
JUDGE'S SIGNATUREELAC
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

- Both sides have solid knowledge of the subject that is debated
- Good argumentation skills, good eye contact
- Excellent closing statements

Room: E3 517

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Side 1: Aveson Global Leadership Academy
APKE

Side 2: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
AYHZ

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
NEG	Amelia Pinto	4	20
NEG	Katie Evenfield	1	27

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
AFK	Ava Ye	27	3
AFK	Helaine Zhao	27	2

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

MANN. LINTANA
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC SPEECH TEAM
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

GB
Safety

TRAVELING
ECONOMY

Aveson - Great
argument overall
try to bring more
evidence and be
more polite

KUDOS - Great great
job in evidence
and in getting
all points out
before time
finishes, just
be sure to have
everything ready
everytime you compete

Room: E3 553

2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Side 1: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
 JLLW

Side 2: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
 JSZX

Negation

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points
 (30-1)

2 Jeffrey Liu 4 30

1 Luke Wu 2 25

Pos Name RK (1-4) Points
 (30-1)

1 Joy Sun 1 27

2 Zhian Xu 3 29

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
 won the debate.

Low Point Win? ☒

CONNIE CHUNG
 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

East Los Angeles College
 JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

69

~~Kimberly Guzman~~ - ELAC

Open Public Forum R3 FLIGHT: B

Room: E3 517

 2018 SCJFL 1st Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 1:30

Ballot Due: Soon please!

Side 1: Nova 42 Academy ZSAP

 Side 2: Kudos College of Youth Leadership
RGAT

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

NEG	Zahmen Siyed	1	29
-----	--------------	---	----

NEG	Andy Pan	3	27
-----	----------	---	----

Pos	Name	RK (1-4)	Points (30-1)
-----	------	----------	---------------

AFF	Ryan Geng	4	20
-----	-----------	---	----

AFF	Alan Tan	2	26
-----	----------	---	----

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

Mark Guzman
JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

ELAC SPEECH TEAM
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Great job you guys
be prepared!

Kudos - Be mindful of
your appearance, be
polite and respectful even
if you don't agree,
Several instances where
both of you were rude